

Remarks

Claims 1-5, 7-11, 13, 14, 16, and 18 are now pending in this application. Applicant has amended claims 1-5, 7-10, 14 and 18 and cancelled claims 6-12 to clarify the claimed invention. Applicant respectfully requests favorable reconsideration of this application.

Applicant has amended the title to be more descriptive. Applicant respectfully requests approval of the amended title.

The Examiner objected to the specification as not supporting claims 16 and 18. Applicant has amended the specification to insert the subject matter of claims 16 and 18. Since these claims were part of the application as originally filed, this amendment does not represent new matter. Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the objection to the specification.

The Examiner objected to the drawings under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.84(p)(5) and 1.84(p)(4). Applicant submits herewith two sheets of corrected drawings including Figs. 1 and 3. Applicant has amended Fig. 1 to delete the broken line box and the reference character "15". Applicant has amended Fig. 3 to correct the reference character "11" to "1". Accordingly, Applicant submits that the drawings comply with 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.84(p)(5) and 1.84(p)(4) and respectfully request withdrawal of the objections to the drawings.

The Examiner objected to claims 10, 14, and 18. Applicant has amended claim 10 to clarify that the at least one transmitter coil and at least one receiver coil are arranged spaced apart

from each other. Applicant has amended claim 14 along the lines suggested by the Examiner. Applicant has amended claim 18 to clarify that the computer code is at least partially transmitted via the internet. In view of the above, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the objections to the claims.

The Examiner stated that claims 6-9 and 12 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. Applicant has amended claims 1 and 10 to include the subject matter of claims 6 and 12, respectively. Therefore, Applicant submits that claims 1-5, 7-11, 13 are allowable. Applicant has also amended claim 14 along the lines of claim 1. Therefore, Applicant submits that claims 14, 16 and 18 are also allowable.

The Examiner rejects claims 1-5, 10, 11, 13, 14, and 16-18 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. patent 6,661,224 to Linder. This rejection is no longer relevant since only claims indicated by the Examiner to be allowable are still pending in this case. Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of this rejection. Applicant does not admit to the validity of this rejection.

In view of the above, Applicant submits that this case is now in condition for allowance and respectfully requests favorable reconsideration of this case and issuance of the notice of allowance.

If an interview would advance the prosecution of this application, Applicant respectfully urges the Examiner to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

The undersigned authorizes the Commissioner to charge fee insufficiency and credit overpayment associated with this communication to Deposit Account No. 22-0261.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: September 18, 2009

/Eric J. Franklin/
Eric J. Franklin, Reg. No. 37,134
Attorney for Applicant
Venable LLP
575 Seventh Street, NW
Washington, DC 20004
Telephone: 202-344-4936
Facsimile: 202-344-8300